

## G Supplementary materials

In this section we give the proofs of the technical lemmas used in the paper *Semi-Parametric Estimation of American Option Prices*, by P. Gagliardini and D. Ronchetti.

### G.1 Proof of Lemma 1

By the triangular inequality we get

$$\left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\hat{\varphi}_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T, \infty} \leq \left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\hat{\varphi}_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T, \infty} + \left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T, \infty}. \quad (\text{G.1})$$

The first term in the RHS of Inequality (G.1) is the supremum norm on set  $\mathcal{Y}_T$  of the function  $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\hat{\varphi}_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta]$ , which can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\hat{\varphi}_\theta](\tilde{y}) - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta](\tilde{y}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_T} m(x; \theta) e^r [\hat{\varphi}_\theta - \varphi_\theta](\tilde{k} e^{-r}, x) \hat{f}(x|\tilde{x}) dx,$$

for any  $\tilde{y} := [\tilde{k} \tilde{x}']'$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\hat{\varphi}_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T, \infty} &\leq \|\hat{\varphi}_\theta - \varphi_\theta\|_{\mathcal{Y}'_T, \infty} \sup_{\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_T} \int_{\mathcal{X}_T} \left| m(x; \theta) e^r \left[ \frac{\Delta \hat{f}(x|\tilde{x})}{f_0(x|\tilde{x})} + 1 \right] f_0(x|\tilde{x}) \right| dx \\ &\leq \|\hat{\varphi}_\theta - \varphi_\theta\|_{\mathcal{Y}'_T, \infty} \left[ \sup_{x, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left| \frac{\Delta \hat{f}(x|\tilde{x})}{f_0(x|\tilde{x})} \right| + 1 \right] e^b \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbf{E} [|m(X_{t+1}; \theta)| | X_t = x], \end{aligned}$$

where  $b > 0$  is defined at point **iv**) of Section F.1 and  $\Delta \hat{f} := \hat{f} - f_0$ .

**Lemma 3.** Under Assumptions A 1, A 2 and A 4-6,  $\sup_{x, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left| \frac{\Delta \hat{f}(x|\tilde{x})}{f_0(x|\tilde{x})} \right| = o_p(1)$ .

*Proof.* See Section G.3. □

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Assumption A 9, Lemma 3, and the condition  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \|\hat{\varphi}_\theta - \varphi_\theta\|_{\mathcal{Y}'_T, \infty} = o_p(1)$ , we get

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\hat{\varphi}_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T, \infty} = O_p \left( \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \|\hat{\varphi}_\theta - \varphi_\theta\|_{\mathcal{Y}'_T, \infty} \right) = o_p(1). \quad (\text{G.2})$$

The second term in the RHS of Inequality (G.1) is the supremum norm on set  $\mathcal{Y}_T$  of the function  $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}[\varphi_\theta]$  given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta](\tilde{y}) - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}[\varphi_\theta](\tilde{y}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_T} m(x; \theta) e^r \varphi_\theta(\tilde{k} e^{-r}, x) \Delta \hat{f}(x|\tilde{x}) dx - \int_{\mathcal{X}_T^c} m(x; \theta) e^r \varphi_\theta(\tilde{k} e^{-r}, x) f_0(x|\tilde{x}) dx.$$

Then by the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T, \infty} &\leq \sup_{x, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left| \frac{\Delta \hat{f}(x|\tilde{x})}{f_0(x|\tilde{x})} \right| \sup_{\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{\mathcal{X}_T} m(x; \theta) e^r \left| \varphi_\theta(\tilde{k}e^{-r}, x) \right| f_0(x|\tilde{x}) dx \\ &\quad + \sup_{\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \int_{\mathcal{X}_T^C} m(x; \theta) e^r \left| \varphi_\theta(\tilde{k}e^{-r}, x) \right| f_0(x|\tilde{x}) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G.3})$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{X}_T} m(x; \theta) e^r \left| \varphi_\theta(\tilde{k}e^{-r}, x) \right| f_0(x|\tilde{x}) dx \\ &\leq e^b \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ m(X_{t+1}; \theta)^2 \mid X_t = \tilde{x} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ |\varphi_\theta(\tilde{k}e^{-r_{t+1}}, X_{t+1})|^2 \mid X_t = \tilde{x} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get the counterpart of this inequality for the set-theoretical complement  $\mathcal{X}_T^C$  of the domain of integration:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{X}_T^C} m(x; \theta) e^r \left| \varphi_\theta(\tilde{k}e^{-r}, x) \right| f_0(x|\tilde{x}) dx \\ &\leq e^b \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ m(X_{t+1}; \theta)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{X}_T^C}(X_{t+1}) \mid X_t = \tilde{x} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ |\varphi_\theta(\tilde{k}e^{-r_{t+1}}, X_{t+1})|^2 \mid X_t = \tilde{x} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us focus on the square of the second term in the RHS of the previous inequality. By the Hölder inequality we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ m(X_{t+1}; \theta)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{X}_T^C}(X_{t+1}) \mid X_t = \tilde{x} \right] \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ |m(X_{t+1}; \theta)|^{2\bar{p}} \mid X_t = \tilde{x} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}}} \underbrace{\left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{X}_T^C}(X_{t+1}) \mid X_t = \tilde{x} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}}}}_{=(\mathbb{P}[X_{t+1} \in \mathcal{X}_T^C \mid X_t = \tilde{x}])^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}}}},$$

where  $\bar{p}, \bar{q} > 1$  are such that  $\frac{1}{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{\bar{q}} = 1$ . Thus, from Inequality (G.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T, \infty} &\leq e^b \left\{ \sup_{x, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left| \frac{\Delta \hat{f}(x|\tilde{x})}{f_0(x|\tilde{x})} \right| \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ m(X_{t+1}; \theta)^2 \mid X_t = x \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ |m(X_{t+1}; \theta)|^{2\bar{p}} \mid X_t = x \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}}} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left( \mathbb{P} \left[ X_{t+1} \in \mathcal{X}_T^C \mid X_t = x \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}}} \right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \sup_{y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ |\varphi_\theta(Y_{t+1})|^2 \mid Y_t = y \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose  $\bar{p}$  such that  $2\bar{p} = 2 + \delta$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is defined in Assumption A 9. From Lemma 3, Assumptions A 4 and A 9 and Inequality (F.2) we get

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \hat{f}}[\varphi_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T, \infty} = o_p(1). \quad (\text{G.4})$$

Thus, from Inequality (G.1) and Equations (G.2) and (G.4), we get  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\| \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f}[\hat{\varphi}_\theta] - \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}[\varphi_\theta] \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_{T, \infty}} = o_p(1)$ .

## G.2 Proof of Lemma 2

We use the notation  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\theta, f_0}$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\theta, f_0}$ . Let  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that

$$\sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a-b}, e^{a+b}] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+1})^2 \middle| Y_t = y \right] < \infty. \quad (\text{G.5})$$

Let us now prove Inequality (F.5). We write the  $(h+1)$ -fold application of operator  $\mathcal{A}$  as in Equation (F.3):

$$\mathcal{A}^{h+1}[v(0, \cdot)] = v(0, \cdot) + \left( \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)] - v(0, \cdot) \right)^+.$$

Since  $(t-s)^+ \leq |t| + |s|$ , for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$\mathcal{A}^{h+1}[v(0, \cdot)]^2 \leq \left( 2v(0, \cdot) + \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)] \right)^2. \quad (\text{G.6})$$

From Inequality (G.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^{h+1}[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+1})^2 \middle| Y_t = y \right] &\leq 4A + \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+1}) \right)^2 \middle| Y_t = y \right] \\ &+ 4 \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ v(0, Y_{t+1}) \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+1}) \middle| Y_t = y \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G.7})$$

where  $A := e^{2(a+b)}$ . We apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Law of Iterated Expectations to the second term in the RHS of Inequality (G.7) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+1}) \right)^2 \middle| Y_t = y \right] \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} m(\tilde{x}; \theta) e^{\tilde{r}} \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](k_{t+1} e^{-\tilde{r}}, \tilde{x}) f(\tilde{x} | X_{t+1}) d\tilde{x} \right)^2 \middle| Y_t = y \right] \\ &\leq e^{2b} \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} [m(X_{t+2}; \theta)^2 | X_{t+1}] \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+2})^2 | Y_{t+1}] \middle| Y_t = y \right] \\ &\leq e^{2b} C_2 \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+2})^2 \middle| Y_t = y \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G.8})$$

where  $C_2 := \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ x \in \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ |m(X_{t+1}; \theta)|^2 \mid X_t = x \right]$  is finite from Assumption A 9 (ii). We apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the last term in the RHS of Inequality (G.7) and we make use of Inequalities (G.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ v(0, Y_{t+1}) \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+1}) \mid Y_t = y \right] \\
& \leq \left( A \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+1}) \right)^2 \mid Y_t = y \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \left( e^{2b} C_2 A \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+2})^2 \mid Y_t = y \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{G.9}
\end{aligned}$$

By grouping Inequalities (G.5) and (G.7)-(G.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^{h+1}[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+1})^2 \mid Y_t = y \right] & \leq 4A + e^{2b} C_2 \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+2})^2 \mid Y_t = y \right] \\
& \quad + \left( e^{2b} C_2 A \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+2})^2 \mid Y_t = y \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the Law of Iterated Expectations and  $k_{t+1} = k_t e^{-r_{t+1}}$  with  $|r_{t+1}| \leq b$ , we have:

$$\sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a}, e^a] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+2})^2 \mid Y_t = y \right] \leq \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ y \in [e^{-a-b}, e^{a+b}] \times \mathcal{X}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{A}^h[v(0, \cdot)](Y_{t+2})^2 \mid Y_{t+1} = y \right] < \infty,$$

from Inequality (G.5). The conclusion follows.

### G.3 Proof of Lemma 3

Let us consider the kernel estimator  $\hat{f}_X$  of the stationary pdf  $f_X$  of  $X_t$  defined in Equation (4.2) and the kernel estimator  $\hat{f}_Z$  of the stationary pdf  $f_Z$  of  $[X'_t \ X'_{t-1}]'$  defined by

$$\hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{T h_T^{2d}} \sum_{t=2}^T K \left( \frac{X_t - x}{h_T} \right) K \left( \frac{X_{t-1} - \tilde{x}}{h_T} \right).$$

Let us define  $\Delta \hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x}) := \hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x}) - f_Z(x, \tilde{x})$  and  $\Delta \hat{f}_X(x) := \hat{f}_X(x) - f_X(x)$ . From the uniform convergence of the kernel density estimation (see, e.g., Hansen [2008]) and Assumptions A 1, A 2, A 5 and A 6 we have

$$\sup_{x, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left| \Delta \hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x}) \right| = O_p \left( \sqrt{\frac{\log(T)}{T h_T^{2d}}} + h_T^\rho \right), \quad \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left| \Delta \hat{f}_X(x) \right| = O_p \left( \sqrt{\frac{\log(T)}{T h_T^d}} + h_T^\rho \right). \tag{G.10}$$

From Assumptions A 4 and A 6 and Equations (G.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left| \frac{\Delta \hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x})}{f_Z(x, \tilde{x})} \right| &= O_p \left( (\log(T))^{c_1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\log(T)}{Th_T^{2d}}} + h_T^\rho \right) \right) = o_p(1), \\ \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_T} \left| \frac{\Delta \hat{f}_X(x)}{f_X(x)} \right| &= O_p \left( (\log(T))^{c_2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\log(T)}{Th_T^d}} + h_T^\rho \right) \right) = o_p(1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G.11})$$

Since  $f_0(x|\tilde{x}) = f_Z(x, \tilde{x})/f_X(\tilde{x})$  and  $\hat{f}(x|\tilde{x}) = \hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x})/\hat{f}_X(\tilde{x})$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta \hat{f}(x|\tilde{x})}{f_0(x|\tilde{x})} &= \frac{\hat{f}(x|\tilde{x})}{f_0(x|\tilde{x})} - 1 = \frac{\hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x})}{\hat{f}_X(\tilde{x})f_0(x|\tilde{x})} - 1 = \frac{f_Z(x, \tilde{x}) + \Delta \hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x})}{[f_X(\tilde{x}) + \Delta \hat{f}_X(\tilde{x})] \frac{f_Z(x, \tilde{x})}{f_X(\tilde{x})}} - 1 \\ &= \frac{1 + \frac{\Delta \hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x})}{f_Z(x, \tilde{x})}}{1 + \frac{\Delta \hat{f}_X(\tilde{x})}{f_X(\tilde{x})}} - 1 = \frac{\frac{\Delta \hat{f}_Z(x, \tilde{x})}{f_Z(x, \tilde{x})} - \frac{\Delta \hat{f}_X(\tilde{x})}{f_X(\tilde{x})}}{1 + \frac{\Delta \hat{f}_X(\tilde{x})}{f_X(\tilde{x})}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G.12})$$

for any  $x, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_T$ . From Equations (G.11) and (G.12) the conclusion follows.